ABA Approves Wiretapping In U.S. Interest By John P. MacKenzie

Washington Post Staff Writer

CHICAGO, Feb. American Bar Association enling, requires states to enact dorsed today the use in crimitional security" and "foreign intelligence" investigations.

vote, the ABA's 295-member rate authority for wiretap war-House of Delegates gave full rants in the nation's capital. support to the national security exception to the court-or- Richard G. Kleindienst told der procedure required for electronic under the 1968 federal Crime veillance orders in 1969 and control act.

the President may have extraordinary powers under the York drug ring. Constitution to protect the nation from foreign subversion, professor Samuel Dash, chairan Individual defendant also man of the ABA's criminal had the right under the law section, argued that the Fourth Amendment not to be government's power to presconvicted on evidence gath erve itself need not clash with ered without a warrant.

The delegates, who speak for the ABA on policy mutters, did not consider the wisdom or constitutionallty of Attorney General John N. Mitchell's claim that the government has similar unsupervised internal subversion cases and to use the evidence in court.

Mitchell is appealing from two U.S. District Court decisions denying him the wide wiretap and evidence rights in foreign intelligence issue was before the House of Delegates today at the ABA's winter evidence. meeting.

Proponents of wlder eaves amending state legislatures.

Separate Authority

Proponents of wider eavesdrop authority for state police as well as federal agents went electronic surveillance code intended chlefly as a guide to state legislatures.

The federal law, which pro- 127 to 104.

8-The hibits private electronic snoopcourt warrant procedures if nal prosecutions of wiretap ev- they wish to permit police to idence obtained without war- plant telephone taps and hidrants by federal agents in "na- den microphones to catch felons. So far a dozen states, including Maryland, have done By an overwhelming voice so. Congress has given sepa-

Deputy Attorney General the delegates that the Justice eavesdropping Department obtained 253 sur-1970 with "productive" results. Liberals argued that while including the recent conviction of a Washington-New

> Georgetown University law law section, argued that the Bill of Rights protections for the accused. He said national security wiretapping could accomplish its main purpose merely by "finding the spies" or "stopping a bombing."

But former ABA President Lewis F. Powell Jr., of Richpower to wiretap and bug in mond, Va., replied that the liberals' argument was "constitutionally illogical."

Admissible Evidence

If the President has lawful authority to conduct a wiredomestic cases, but only the tap, Powell argued, the fruits of the tap must be considered lawfully obtained, admissible

Liberals came closest to the wiretapping drop authority for state police standards when they argued as well as federal agents went for more detailed pre-trial dison to win approval of a model closure to the accused and his electronic surveillance code lawyer of evidence obtained intended chiefly as a guide to by court-approved eavesdropping.

Judge Jack G Day of the Ohio Court of Appeals told the delegates that the issue involved the rights of more than certifled villains" and Inon to win approval of a model cluded persons accused of "tax evasion, stock fraud and antitrust violations—your clients." The amendment was rejected.